03/03/2009 TUE 14:21 FAX 5122311411 pdf

Ø017/019

Serial No.: 10/750,795

Examiner: Knowlin Thjuan Addy

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed. At the time of this Advisory Action,

claims 1-50 were pending. Claims 1, 11, 21, 32, 37, 41 and 50 are currently amended.

The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and favorable action in this case and

allowance of all pending claims.

In the October 30, 2008 Final Office Action, Examiner rejected claims 1-50 under

35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

I. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

In the present office action, Examiner rejected the independent claims of the

present patent application under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatenable over Gray et al.

(U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 2004/0028197) in view of Denton et al. (U.S. Patent No.

7,295,669).

Examiner cited Denton for containing the "a computer associated with the first

PBX phone, with the computer including a PBX Messaging Integration Client (PMIC),

with the PMIC associated with an individual and receiving at the computer from the PBX a

first message indicating an off-hook state of the first PBX phone" limitation of the

independent claims.

15

Wengrovitz

ALCATEL 134143

03/03/2009 TUE 14:21 FAX 5122311411 pdf

2018/019

Serial No.: 10/750,795

Examiner: Knowlin Thjuan Addy

However, Denton does not, in fact, disclose this limitation of the independent claims. Denton discloses a method for transferring calls between computers in a call center rather than a system for presence notification in a PBX system. Denton involves the use of softphones, rather than PBX phones. See Denton, column 1 (discussing the use of

softphones within a computer rather than PBX phones attached to computers). FIG. 1 of

Denton shows a telephone 203 inside workstation 120a as opposed to a PBX phone 131

connected to a computer including a PMIC 141 and a PBX 110 as shown in FIG. 1 in the

present application.

In addition, the flow connection modules 204 and 209 of Denton do not appear to have the same functionality of the PMICs of the present application. However, for further clarity, additional limitations on the PMIC have been included in the independent claims through further amendment.

The PMICs of the present application are "adapted to act as individualized clients for: (1) placing and answering PBX calls without a PBX phone, (2) routing incoming calls directed to PBX extensions to other devices, (3) forwarding calls away from PBX phones to other devices, and (4) placing calls on hold." *See* application, p. 8.

Serial No.: 10/750,795

Examiner: Knowlin Thjuan Addy

CONCLUSION

Applicant has made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons, and for reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of all pending claims. If there are any matters that can be discussed by telephone to further the prosecution of this Application, Applicant invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney at 512-306-8533 at the Examiner's convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Raymond M. Galasso Reg. No. 37,832

Alcatel Lucent c/o Galasso & Associates, LP P.O. Box 26503

Austin, Texas 78755-0503 Telephone: (512) 306-8533

Facsimile: (512) 306-8559